

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/719,391 | 11/21/2003 | Karen M. Szymas | 16027 | 2556 | |
| 50659 | 7590 05/10/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BUTZEL LONG DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 100 BLOOMFIELD HILLS PARKWAY | | | HAYES, BRET C | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 200 | | | 3644 | | |
| BLOOMFIEI | LD HILLS, MI 48304 | | DATE MAILED: 05/10/200: | DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| | 10/719,391 | SZYMAS, KAREN M. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Bret C Hayes | 3644 | |

| Before the rilling of all Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Bret C Hayes | 3644 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS . | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered and necessary and | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | | | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| | \sim \sim \sim | ~ // | | | | | |
| | MIC | HAEL J. CARONE DRY PATENT EXAMIN | ER | | | | |
| | OUI FILLIO | | | | | | |

Part of Paper No. 20050429

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

As noted in a previous office action, Reasons For Allowance section, the patentable subject matter included, but was not limited to: forearm pads, handgrip assemblies, and a transverse frame member, each being removably and adjustably attached to the support member. Examiner suggests including a recitation of the transverse frame member and at least one of a forearm pad and a handgrip assembly, for clarity.